

**REPORT OF ACTIVITIES  
OF THE  
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES**

**By**

**Keith Swanson  
Acting Chief  
Division of Flood Management  
Department of Water Resources  
The Resources Agency  
State of California\***

---

**\*Presented before the Reclamation Board in Sacramento, California on July 20, 2007**

## WATER CONDITIONS

As of July 1, Water Year 2007 statewide hydrologic conditions were as follows: precipitation, 60 percent of average to date; runoff, 55 percent of average to date; and reservoir storage, 90 percent of average for the date. On April 1, the statewide snow pack was about 40 percent of the April 1 average (the usual date of maximum accumulation). This is the smallest snowpack for April 1 since 1988 when the statewide snowpack was at 30 percent of the April 1 average. On May 1, 2007, the statewide snowpack was only about 25 percent of normal due to below-normal snowfall and above-normal temperatures during April. Usually, snowmelt continues well into June, but by June 1 of this water year, the statewide snowpack was essentially gone. In general, seasonal precipitation during this water year has been below average, especially in Southern California. On June 30, the Northern Sierra 8-Station Index had a seasonal total of 36.0", which is about 74 percent of the seasonal average to date and about 72 percent of average for an entire Water Year (50.0"). During Water Year 2007, the Northern Sierra 8-Station Index had the sixth driest January and March on record. (In contrast, the other large precipitation months of December and February were above normal at 101 percent and 170 percent of average, respectively.) The Water Year 2007 October through June seasonal total of 36.0" is the 25<sup>th</sup> driest year out of 88 years of record. In both Northern and Southern California, fire season began early because of the dryness.

As of June 5, the date of the last forecast for this Water Year, the projected median April-July unimpaired snowmelt runoff for the State's major water supply basins ranged from 56 percent (Shasta Lake Inflow) to 22 percent (Tule River). Sacramento River unimpaired runoff observed through June 30 was about 9.2 million acre-feet (MAF), which is about 54 percent of average. (On June 30, 2006, the observed Sacramento River unimpaired runoff through that date was about 30.3 MAF or about 176 percent of average.) During June, unimpaired runoff volumes for all rivers were well below average. The median forecasts of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valley Water Year Type indexes are "Dry" and "Critical," respectively.

Selected Cities Precipitation Accumulation as of 06/30/2007 (National Weather Service Water Year: July through June)					
	Jul 1 to Date 2006 - 2007 (in inches)	% Avg	Jul 1 to Date 2005 - 2006 (in inches)	% Avg	% Avg Jul 1 to Jun 30 2006 - 2007
Eureka	35.48	93	58.83	154	93
Redding	22.73	68	45.31	135	67
Sacramento	11.95	60	25.63	129	60
San Jose	9.28	62	22.54	149	61
Fresno	6.03	54	14.56	130	53
Bakersfield	3.06	47	6.85	106	47
Los Angeles	3.21	21	13.19	87	21
San Diego	3.85	36	5.42	50	35

Key Reservoir Storage (1,000 AF) as of 06/30/2007								
Reservoir	River	Storage	Avg Storage	% Average	Capacity	% Capacity	Flood Control Encroachment	Total Space Available
Trinity Lake	Trinity	1,861	2,132	87	2,448	76	---	587
Shasta Lake	Sacramento	3,181	3,745	85	4,552	70	-1,371	1,371
Lake Oroville	Feather	2,686	2,953	91	3,538	76	-852	852
New Bullards Bar Res	Yuba	810	830	98	966	84	-156	156
Folsom Lake	American	672	833	81	977	69	-305	305
New Melones Res	Stanislaus	1,680	1,517	111	2,420	69	-740	740
Don Pedro Res	Tuolumne	1,534	1,597	96	2,030	76	-496	496
Lake McClure	Merced	569	732	78	1,025	55	-456	456
Millerton Lake	San Joaquin	305	417	73	520	59	-215	215
Pine Flat Res	Kings	523	701	75	1,000	52	-477	477
Isabella	Kern	213	308	69	568	38	-260	355
San Luis Res	(Offstream)	531	1,373	39	2,039	26	---	1,508

The latest National Weather Service Climate Prediction Center (CPC) 90-Day long-range seasonal weather outlook (for July through September), issued June 21, suggests below average precipitation for Northern California and average conditions elsewhere in the State. Temperatures are expected to be above normal for all of California, except for the central and southern coasts where normal temperatures are forecast. The latest CPC long-range weather for July, issued June 30, suggests average precipitation for all of California. Temperatures are expected to be above normal for all of California, except for the South Coast where below normal temperatures are forecast. For both the 1 and 3-month forecasts, temperatures are expected to be well above average for the American Southwest.

### **DELTA RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY**

The draft Phase 1 Revised Risk Analysis report and Summary Report were completed in late June and are expected to be available for public release soon.

The Independent Review Panel (IRP), selected by the CALFED Science Board, began its review of the draft Phase 1 documents in late June. It is expected they will need about six weeks to conduct their review. Once the draft Phase 1 documents are released to the public, the public will be given an opportunity to comment on the documents.

A public meeting was held on the DRMS project on Tuesday, June 26<sup>th</sup> in Rio Vista to inform the public about DRMS, how it came about, and the process used in its development. The URS team had the authors of various technical memoranda at the meeting to explain how their memoranda were developed and to answer questions from the public.

The URS team is also working now on the Phase 2 portion of the project, the risk mitigation or reduction measures based on the risks determined in Phase 1. A series of "building blocks" (individual actions), and three scenarios, using various building blocks, have been given to the URS team for them to determine the costs and risk reductions for the Phase 2 work. The draft Phase 2 submittal is due to the Department of Water

Resources (DWR) on August 3, 2007, to be followed by IRP and public review. Coordination with the Bay Delta Conservation Plan is underway to ensure that both plans are fully coordinated.

The Phase 2 work will be provided to the Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force for their information and use in determining the Vision for the Delta that is due to the Governor and Legislature by January 1, 2008.

## **STATUS OF EROSION REPAIRS**

### **2005 Ayres Critical Erosion Sites**

Landscape and planting will be completed on 18 of the original 22 DWR-led sites by mid July. This includes on-site environmental mitigation features such as soil-rock mix and cover, plantings, in-stream woody materials, fascine bundles, pole cuttings. At the remaining four sites ongoing activities include installing pole cuttings, spreading agricultural soil, seeding, and laying erosion control fabrics.

### **2005 and 2006 Ayres Critical Erosion Sites**

Work continues to progress on all 24 sites. Phase 2 contracts are currently out to bid on all 14 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) led sites. DWR completed Phase 1 work on eight of ten sites. The remaining Phase 1 projects include two Cache Creek Levee sites located at Unit 2 Levee Miles (LM) 3.9 and 4.2. At both sites, setback levee designs are completed and landowners have agreed to Temporary Entry Permits, which has allowed property appraisals.

Other recent project activities include agreements with the City of Woodland to provide free borrow material and a temporary storage area. DWR just awarded the contract for the Phase 2 construction of their northern sites, while the contract for the southern sites is being re-bid due to protests.

### **Special Levee Repair Projects**

We have received requests for repairs that fall outside of the critical repairs erosion site program that includes repair of non-project levees. We are investigating options for the Hamilton City Interim Repair Project, the M&T Phelan Levee (River Mile 192.5L), and the Butte Basin 3B's Overflow structure.

No final decision to repair has been made and Field Action Reports (FARs) are being prepared that will incorporate background material including existing hydraulic modeling, geological studies and biological assessments. Some of these sites may be eligible for local cost-shared grant programs.

In addition, we are investigating a request to repair an eroding levee in front of the Fremont Weir Gage. It does not qualify for repair under the Ayres ranking criteria for critical sites but the erosion is threatening a component of the State flood control infrastructure.

**2006 Public Law (PL) 84-99 Rehabilitation Assistance Program**

Contractors completed structural work on 44 of 47 Order 1 and 2 sites. Progress continues on the remaining three sites. For the Order 1 site at Butte Creek Unit 1 LM 0.8, DWR submitted all environmental permits and final designs are under review. At the Reclamation District (RD) 1602 Order 2 site located near Patterson on the San Joaquin River, Corps' contractors recently completed berm construction. The Corps also expects their ED 1500 site will be repaired by September 2007.

**1997 COST-SHARED PL 84-99 LEVEE REHABILITATION – WADSWORTH CANAL**

The recently passed federal emergency appropriations bill contained federal funding for a 3,000-foot long slurry cutoff wall to be constructed along the south levee of the Wadsworth Canal where the canal enters the Sutter bypass. This project is the last remaining repair to be completed under the 1997 Cost-Shared PL 84-99 Levee Rehabilitation Program. Previous efforts to address the severe underseepage along this stretch of levee were first delayed when the design switched from seepage berms to slurry walls, and then further delayed due to lack of federal funding.

To proceed with the project, the Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA) will need to be amended to reflect current project cost estimates. DWR staff will be meeting in July with the Corps to prepare this amendment, and it is anticipated that a resolution will be brought before The Reclamation Board (Board) in September to approve the revised PCA. Favorable Board action should allow construction to commence during the summer of 2008.

Initiated in December 1997, this program provides cost-shared repairs to Central Valley levees which protect urban areas or critical infrastructure. Actions completed to date addressed seepage problems in Sutter, Yuba, Sacramento, and San Joaquin counties. Repairs consisted of projects not considered eligible under the Corps' regular P L84-99 program. By administrative directive, there is a 25 to 50 percent nonfederal share in accordance with the cost-sharing provisions of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986. Nonfederal costs are shared according to the 70/30 State/local cost-sharing formula for federal flood control projects in Water Code Section 12585.5. As the Wadsworth Canal is maintained by the State as specified in Water Code Section 8361, and the project will restore Wadsworth Canal to perform as designed, the State is obligated to fund 100 percent of nonfederal costs.

**GARMIRE ROAD BRIDGE REPLACEMENT**

This project consists of replacement of the existing bridge with a new bridge in cooperation with Sutter County Department of Public Works. The bridge crosses Tisdale Bypass at Garmire Road located in Sutter County. The existing bridge is a single-lane pile-supported concrete structure. During major flood flows, debris accumulates against the piles reducing the flow capacity of the Tisdale Weir. This results in higher flood stages in the Sacramento River downstream of the weir. The new structure has been designed to meet current traffic design standards and because of a span length of 143 feet, the new structure will pass floating debris which will improve floodwater conveyance.

The magnitude and complexities of this project have resulted in several delays in the project schedule. Delays have resulted from difficulties in permitting, specifically in complying with the Endangered Species Act, the National Historic Preservation Act, and the Clean Water Act, as well as difficulties in securing needed right-of-way and most recently, federal funding. Sutter County has chosen a construction management firm and will finalize their contract once assurances are obtained for federal funding. This assurance is expected by late July which will allow constructing bids to be advertised in the fall for a construction start in May 2008.

### **O'BANION ROAD BRIDGE REPLACEMENT**

This project consists of replacing the existing bridge with a new bridge that was constructed in cooperation with Sutter County Department of Public Works. The bridge crosses the Project No. 6 Collecting Canal at O'Banion Road located east of the Sutter Bypass in Sutter County. The existing bridge was built in the 1930's and was replaced because it is structurally deficient and functionally obsolete based on current transportation safety and bridge construction standards. Construction of the new bridge was finished on June 19. However, all invoices have not been paid and an additional \$11,500 is needed from DWR to fulfill the agreement. The end of contract date of June 30, 2007 is in the process of being extended to cover outstanding invoices that have to be paid after this fiscal year ends.

### **TISDALE BYPASS CHANNEL REHABILITATION PROJECT**

The permit applications were filed with the permitting agencies on April 5, 2007. The agencies committed to working with DWR for the issuance of permits during the month of May and delivered permits on schedule. All special conditions received from the agencies were either immediately approved by DWR or re-negotiated to the mutual agreement of all parties. On May 31, 2007 the final permit was received.

With permits finalized and the real estate issues resolved, the Division of Engineering began soliciting contractor bids for construction of the project on June 1, 2007. The bid opening occurred on June 27, 2007. A total of ten bids were received. Notice to begin work is scheduled for July 27, 2007. Staffs from the Division of Flood Management, Division of Engineering, the Sutter Maintenance Yard, and RD 1660 are working together to coordinate preliminary site work which needs to be completed before construction begins.

### **Flood Project Integrity and Inspection Branch**

DFM's Flood Project Integrity and Inspection Branch (FPIIB) continues to provide support to the Reclamation Board by acting on its behalf to coordinate with the Corps on recent Corps policy guidance that impacts the inspection program and local maintaining agency (LMA) eligibility status for flood damage rehabilitation assistance under PL 84-99. In particular, the Branch has been dealing with the implications of the Corps' consideration to implement more stringent standards for inspection and maintenance of federally authorized levees throughout the United States.

### **DWR Spring Inspections Update**

FPIIB has completed its spring 2007 levee inspections and has sent the inspection reports to all of the LMAs and the Corps of Engineers. These inspections evaluated levee conditions using historic maintenance criteria while also documenting the presence of all trees, which the Corps has indicated will be prohibited from levees under proposed criteria. All encroachments on the levee and easements were also documented, whether permitted or not, in response to the Corps' indications that it will be applying more stringent encroachment criteria in the near future.

No ratings were given for the LMAs or their individual units. Ratings will be applied in the fall inspection using the historical criteria unless different criteria are officially adopted by the Corps before September 2007.

FPIIB has also completed the spring inspection of project structures (weirs, diversions, outfalls, overflows) and expects to complete the report by mid-July.

### **PL 84-99 Corrective Action Plans**

The Corps issued a March 30, 2007 letter requiring the submittal of a corrective action plan from each of the 28 LMAs found to have deficient maintenance by the Corps. The Board notified the 28 LMAs of the requirements in its own letter of April 4, 2007. FPIIB reviewed the submitted plans to determine if all required items were included and found that some of the plans were incomplete. As of June 29, 20 corrective action plans and two requests for time extensions had been submitted. The Board and FPIIB attempted to contact the LMAs that did not submit corrective action plans. The Corps has stated that those that fail to submit and implement acceptable plans will be declared inactive for PL 84-99 rehabilitation assistance and could lose FEMA certification as well; however, we have requested that the Corps accept late submittals and are awaiting a response. The attached table summarizes the correction plans received and their completeness.

San Joaquin County Flood Control District (SJCFCFCD) implemented its corrective action plan and has corrected all of its maintenance deficiencies except for issues with encroachments and easements. FPIIB and the Board staff will be meeting with SJCFCFCD and Corps staff to attempt to resolve these issues so that acceptable corrections can be made and SJCFCFCD can remain on active status under PL 84-99 and retain Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) certification. However, serious and challenging problems regarding permitting and maintenance of encroachments, easements, grandfathering, and other issues must be addressed and cooperatively resolved by all parties. These issues exist throughout the system and significant additional work and expenditures may be necessary. The Board involvement will be a key factor in resolving these issues.

### **LEGISLATION**

#### **Assembly Bill 5 (Wolk) Flood Protection: Local Plans**

This bill would require DWR to prepare the Central Valley Flood Protection Plan (CVFPP) for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Valley and would require a local agency to

comply with the flood protection standards set forth in the CVFPP or prepare a local plan of flood protection that includes specified components.  
Amended 06/21/07. In the Senate Judiciary Committee.

**Assembly Bill 70 (Jones) Flood Liability:** This bill would provide that a city or county may be required to contribute its fair and reasonable share of the property damage caused by a flood to the extent that the local public entity increases the state's exposure to liability for property damage by unreasonably approving new development in a previously undeveloped area that is protected by a state flood control project and if it is determined that the city or county failed to comply with other applicable provisions of existing law.

Amended 06/04/07. In the Senate Judiciary Committee.

**Assembly Bill 156 (Laird) Flood Control**

This bill would require DWR to prepare a schedule for mapping areas at risk of flooding, prepare a status report on the State Plan of Flood Control, notify property owners of flooding hazards, prepare and maintain maps for levee flood protection zones, authorize DWR to revise the maps to include updated information, require local agencies to prepare reports on the condition of project levees in their jurisdiction, require local agencies to adopt flood safety plans as a condition for receiving State funds for levee upgrades, allow DWR to participate in the design of environmental enhancements associated with federal flood control projects and in the construction of environmental enhancements for which the State is authorized to participate, and clarify maintenance area formation procedures.

Amended 06/01/07. In the Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee.

**Assembly Bill 162 (Wolk) Land Use: Water Supply**

The bill would require land use elements to identify and annually review those areas covered by city and county general plans that are subject to flooding as identified by floodplain mapping prepared by the FEMA or DWR and would require, upon the next revision of the housing element, on or after January 1, 2008, the conservation element of the general plan to identify rivers, creeks, streams, flood corridors, riparian habitat, and land that may accommodate floodwater for purposes of groundwater recharge and stormwater management.

Introduced 01/22/07. In the Senate Local Government Committee.

**Assembly Bill 930 (Jones) Flood Management**

The Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency Act defines project to mean the acquisition, construction, or operation of any authorized flood control facility including the acquisition of rights-of-way. This bill would expand that definition to include the acquisition of easements. The bill would require that the acquisition of rights-of-way and easements outside of the agency's boundaries be consistent with applicable county plans and the State Plan of Flood Control. The bill would provide that nothing in the act authorizes the agency to exercise the power of eminent domain outside its boundaries, alters the existing powers granted to members of the agreement, or precludes the acquisition of time-limited easements.

Amended 06/04/07. In the Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee.



**Assembly Bill 1452 (Wolk) Central Valley Flood Protection**

This bill would enact the Central Valley Flood Protection Act of 2008. It would prohibit the Board from approving funding for any flood protection project that narrows flood channels or reduces the capacity of the flood protection system in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Valley to convey water. The bill would declare that it is the policy of the state that the expenditure of funds for flood protection in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Valley reflect specified priorities. The bill would require DWR to correct deficiencies in flood protection facilities that present an imminent risk of failure and threaten human life.

Amended 06/01/07. In the Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee.

**Assembly Bill 1489 (Huffman and Wolk) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006.**

This bill would amend Proposition 84 and require DWR to provide technical assistance for the development of integrated regional water management plans. It would also establish additional criteria DWR must consider when granting preferences for funding projects that assist local public agencies to meet the long-term water needs of the state including the delivery of safe drinking water and the protection of water quality and the environment. In addition, DWR would be required to conduct a study of groundwater resources and include specified data collection and analysis.

Amended 06/01/07. In the Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee.

**Senate Bill 5 (Machado) Flood Management**

(1) This bill would require DWR to prepare the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Flood Management System Plan (Plan) to include specified components including a description of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Flood Management System, a description of the performance of the system and challenges to modifying the system to provide appropriate levels of flood protection, and findings and recommendations with regard to structural and nonstructural projects that will significantly reduce flood risks within the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers drainage. DWR would be required to include in the plan evaluations of the methods for improving the performance of the system, the structural improvements necessary to bring each of the facilities of the State Plan of Flood Control for the Central Valley to within its design standard, methods for providing an urban level of flood protection to urbanized areas, and methods for reducing flood risks in nonurbanized areas.

(2) This bill would require local governments to revise general plans to include specified provisions of the Plan and develop methods to finance local flood protection projects. It would also require local governments to amend zoning ordinances to comply with the revised general plans, identify real property parcels protected by flood management facilities and notify property owners located in flood hazard areas.

Amended 04/25/07. In the Assembly Local Government Committee.

**Senate Bill 17 (Florez) Flood Protection**

This bill would rename The Reclamation Board to the Central Valley Flood Protection Board (Board), recast various provisions of law regarding its operations, membership

and prescribed duties, add evidentiary hearing provisions, add conflict of interest requirements for Board members, require a report on the status of the State Plan of Flood Control, require the Board to write a strategic flood protection plan, and require the Board to review and comment on local and regional land use plans. The bill states legislative intent to transfer the duties, staff and funding allocated to the Board as it exists on December 31, 2007 to the Board, as it would be reconstituted after January 1, 2008.

Amended 6/04/07. In the Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee.

**Senate Bill 27 (Simitian) Clean Drinking Water, Water Supply Security and Environmental Improvement Bond Act of 2007**

This bill would require the Secretary of the Resources Agency, on or before January 1, 2008, to begin implementing certain actions on behalf of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, including investing in emergency preparedness, funding projects to aid sustainability in the Delta, identifying critical levees to be strengthened, and commencing Delta restoration projects. The secretary would be required to take necessary action to ensure that the requirements of the 2006 Governor's executive order relating to the Delta Vision Committee are met in a timely manner. The bill would declare legislative intent to enact legislation to begin implementing a program for sustainable management of the Delta in 2008.

Amended 04/24/07. In the Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee.

**Senate Bill 34 (Torlakson) User Fees and Assessments: Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Flood Control**

Existing law requires the Secretary for Resources, in collaboration with the Secretary of Business, Transportation and Housing, to develop a strategic plan to achieve a sustainable Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. The plan is to include a strategic financing plan. This bill would require the strategic financing plan for the delta to include recommendations with respect to imposing fees under a beneficiaries pay principle.

Amended 04/17/07. In the Assembly Committee on Appropriations.

**Senate Bill 276 (Steinberg//Cox) Flood Control Projects**

This bill would specify the extent of state and local participation in specified flood control projects administered by the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency and would describe the project for flood control along the American and Sacramento Rivers as further modified to include a specified 200-year level of flood protection. The bill would describe the Folsom Dam modification project as further modified by a specified report adopted by Congress.

Amended 06/20/07. In the Assembly Committee on Appropriations.

**Senate Bill 378 (Steinberg) Disaster Preparedness and Flood Prevention Bond Act of 2006**

This bill would require DWR to: (1) develop project solicitation and evaluation guidelines for grant programs funded by Proposition 1E; (2) include an evaluation of the risk of failure due to a seismic event in any evaluation of facilities of the State Plan of Flood Control; and (3) give priority to projects and expenditures that result in a system-wide reduction of flood risks and projects that address the needs of disadvantaged

communities when implementing projects for protection, creation, and enhancement of flood protection corridors and bypasses.

Amended 06/04/07. In Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee.

**Senate Bill 732 (Steinberg) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006.**

This bill would require, by January 1, 2009 and each year thereafter, that state agencies report to the Legislature on the recipient and amount of each Proposition 84-funded project, grant or loan awarded. This bill would delete a corporation from the definition of local public agency in the Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Act. If DWR revises or replaces the IRWM Guidelines, the bill would require DWR to develop these in consultation with specified entities and pursuant to other specified provisions.

Amended 06/28/07. In Assembly Local Government Committee.

**Senate Bill 1002 (Perata) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006.**

This bill would make a number of Legislative findings regarding the need to more effectively integrate water resources programs and solutions and to commit or otherwise expend current bond authorizations before asking the voters to approve additional bonded indebtedness. In addition, the bill would appropriate or otherwise direct \$882.5 million in bond funding.

Amended 06/05/07. In Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee.

Correction Plan Submittals Summary Table

Reference Number	Location	Correction Plan Submitted	Schedule for Correcting Noted Deficiencies	Summary of Interim Actions	Evacuation Plan Addressed	Outreach Plan	Comments
1	Bear Creek, SJCFCD	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
2	Chowchilla River/Ash Slough/Berenda Slough, Madera Cd	----- Request for Extension Submitted-----					Sent memo requesting 90 days extension.
3	Fairfield Vicinity Streams	No	No	Yes	No	No	May 31 ltr to Corps says corrections being made
4	Littlejohn Creek, SJCFCD	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
5	Merced County Stream Group	----- No Correction Plan Submitted -----					
6	Mormon Slough, SJCFD	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
7	Deer Creek, Tehama County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Channel Maintenance Is performed by DWR
8	Elder Creek, Tehama County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Channel Maintenance Is performed by DWR
9	LD 2- Glenn County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10	LD 3-Glenn County	----- No Correction Plan Submitted -----					
11	RD 150-Merritt Island	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
12	RD 307- Lisbon Island	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
13	RD 349-Sutter Island	----- Request for Extension Submitted-----					Sent memo requesting extension No date
14	RD 369- Libby-McNeil	----- No Correction Plan Submitted -----					
15	RD 501- Ryer Island	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
16	RD 556-Upper Andrus Island	No	No	Yes	No	No	Sent fax describing history but no correction plan
17	RD 563-Tyler Island	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
18	RD 755-Randall Island	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
19	RD 827-Elkhorn	----- No Correction Plan Submitted -----					
20	RD 1600-Mull District	----- No Correction Plan Submitted -----					
21	RD 2098-Cache & Haas Area	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
22	Yolo County Service Area No. 6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
23	City of Marysville	----- No Correction Plan Submitted -----					
24	E and W Interceptor Canal, DWR-Sutter Maint Yard	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Sutter County Sheriff is responsible for EP & OP plans
25	RD 404-Boggs Tract	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
26	RD 524-Middle Roberts Island	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
27	RD 2063-Crows Landing	No	No	Yes	No	No	Corrections in Corps table don't match inspect. report
28	RD 2064-River Junction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	